



THE JENKINS JOURNAL

OKLAHOMA STATE REPRESENTATIVE MOLLY JENKINS' SPECIAL REPORT TO HER EMPLOYERS

VOLUME 1 EDITION 3 | MOLLYJENKINS@REAGAN.COM | JENKINSFORHOUSE.COM | OFFICE: (405) 557-7304 | CELL: (405) 326-3196



Green Energy Policy Is Putting Our Oklahoma Land at Risk: Molly Jenkins Is Fighting Back

Molly Jenkins is leading a push to restore state authority and give voters the final say over industrial wind turbine complexes, transmission lines, and data-center projects reshaping rural Oklahoma.

Molly Jenkins will be the first to admit that many of her legislative initiatives are “heavy lifts.”

That’s because they are opposed by powerful, lobbyist-represented special-interest groups. But Jenkins says that does not change the fact that they are the right thing to do.

Among those efforts is legislation that would place a vital principle into the Oklahoma Constitution: private companies should not have the power to take Oklahomans’ property through eminent domain.

Jenkins says the issue has become urgent because, during the Obama administration, federal regulators weakened state authority, allowing large out-of-state energy consortiums — operating through the Southwest Power Pool — to bypass the Oklahoma Corporation Commission and secure contracts for massive transmission projects tied to federally subsidized green-energy programs. Those projects, she says, are now being used to seize private land for high-voltage transmission lines that permanently alter grazing land, farmland, and rural property that has been in families for generations.

See [GREEN ENERGY FIGHT](#).
Page 3



VOTE JUNE 16TH

How Our State Representative is "Shredding" the Old Way of Doing Political Business



In the days following Molly Jenkins’ victory over a long-time legislative incumbent, she received an invitation into the inner circles of Oklahoma politics.

It was in the form of an invitation to meet from one of the state’s most powerful politicians.

For Jenkins, this was a real, unique turn of events, because, just days earlier, Jenkins had defeated a deeply entrenched officeholder — someone so embedded in the system that he had cast only six “no” votes in the previous year, compared to the nearly 500 Jenkins would later cast in her first term. And, the state’s political leadership had almost exclusively backed her opponent including high-profile endorsements that had been mailed to many of the local voters.

Now, after Jenkins had defeated their man, an important figure at the very top of that system was reaching out to her.

At their meeting, the powerful politician told Jenkins that while many powerful forces had supported her opponent, he, the powerful politician, had been quietly holding back the dark-money groups behind the scenes — the anonymous organizations that spend thousands of dollars to destroy the reputations of those who challenge the political order. In effect, he had been protecting her.

Jenkins quickly grasped the significance. Oklahoma’s power structure was making room for her — even inviting her to join its ranks. The message was clear: it was okay that she had defeated one of their own. There was a way for her to be absorbed into the system.

As the meeting ended, in the parking lot, almost as an afterthought, the politician handed Jenkins an envelope. He didn’t say what it contained. Then, just as suddenly as he had appeared, he was gone.

Inside the envelope was a large check.

Jenkins now had a decision to make.

She had campaigned on a simple pledge: abstain from even the appearance of impropriety. She vowed to refuse money from special interests — lobbyists and the entities they represent.

Could she take the politician’s money? The politician who gave it to her was not a registered lobbyist. Accepting it would not have violated the letter of her promise. Would it?

It would have been easy: Send a thank-you note; deposit the check; move on.

But Jenkins understood that real integrity is not measured by loopholes.

See [SHREDDING THE SYSTEM](#).
Page 3

Jenkins Launches Unprecedented HD33 Voter Outreach Program



For Molly Jenkins, the filled-to-capacity turnout at the Perkins town hall meeting told the story: when given the opportunity to engage with their leaders, the people of House District 33 show up.

“Too often, cynics believe the people have checked out — convinced that all elected officials have simply surrendered to the status quo, and that there’s little reason to get involved,” Jenkins said. “But in House District 33, we’re changing that perception.”

The town hall was just one part of Jenkins’ broader effort to engage the district and involve the public like never before. Other components of her outreach plan include the regular publication of The Jenkins Journal — now in its third edition — which reports directly to voters on her legislative work; frequent email updates; and hundreds of door-to-door visits, even during a non-election year.

“So many people have told me this is the first time an officeholder has ever visited them in their home,” Jenkins said. “But that’s where it starts — where they live.”

See [UNPRECEDENTED OUTREACH](#).
Page 3

★ NOTICE ★

Representative Jenkins does not accept items of value from lobbyist-represented entities.

★ Thank you for understanding ★

The Oklahoma State Capital

The Courageous Freshman State Representative Who Told Oklahomans the Truth About the Illegal-Immigration Grift

Local State Representative Molly Jenkins, though only a first-year officeholder, broke through the smoke-and-mirrors system of the Oklahoma House and allowed the people to see what was really happening.

The big grift: it's the "Republican" powerbrokers who control the Oklahoma House of Representatives who have constructed a smoke-and-mirrors system in which most public-facing legislative action is performative, not deliberative.

This allows them to placate the monied special interests, moving forward the agenda of an ever-growing government, the size and scope of which has become nightmarish, while casting just enough occasional, value-based, "people's values" votes to give the consultant class what it needs to insure the re-election of incumbents.

By the time the gavel falls and the chamber convenes, nearly everything of consequence has already been decided—behind closed doors, out of public view. A small circle around the Speaker determines whether a bill will live or die. This explains why only a small percentage of bills ever fail once they are brought up for a vote. The vote itself is mostly just theater.

Every so often, a brave and savvy state representative finds a way to pierce the smoke and mirrors and expose the grift by somehow, some way, against all odds, forcing a "defining vote."

"That didn't stop Jenkins: She managed to get the clerk to activate the board for a "division," and that division was captured by the House live-feed cameras—allowing the people of Oklahoma to know the truth."

- JASON MURPHEY

These moments are rare because leadership works aggressively to prevent them.

But when this does happen, those lazy legislators actually have to make a choice: will they serve the donors and special interests who fund their campaigns, even when doing so betrays the deeply held values of the electorate they seek to represent?

Or will they stand with the people?

One of the most important such moments of this year's legislative session—arguably the most important—never appeared in the House Journal. It was not officially recorded. But it was captured on the live feed, and that was enough.

It was a vital transparency vote, requiring the transparency of one of the most basic metrics that the people should have known about long ago, but which has been kept hidden under the rug simply by virtue of the fact that the state government refuses to even collect the

data point.

The timing of this vote could not have been more revealing of what is really going on.

It occurred preceding the 2026 campaign season, in which certain establishment Republican legislative candidates have been saturating mailboxes with one misleading talking point: "Closing the border."

If you see a legislative candidate using this line, including the establishment's pick for House District 33 in the 2026 election, BJ Robinson, who has this bullet point proudly displayed on his website, understand immediately what you are dealing with: **this is someone who holds the intelligence of the voter in contempt.**

It's a head fake that relies on confusion—specifically, the hope that voters will not distinguish between a member of Congress, who operates within the federal jurisdiction where border enforcement actually exists, and a state legislator, has no authority over international borders in other states.

What the voter is not told is this: when push comes to shove, those establishment lawmakers are never allowed to do anything substantive—anything that might actually survive legal scrutiny and impose real consequences.

That's because the powerful special interests represent those who make bank off the illegal invasion, literally funding the establishment politicians, and then, cashing out for personal benefit while the American republic comes under attack.

And if legislators take money from those special interests and live the lobbyist nightlife in Oklahoma City, they will inevitably come to hold the views and values of the people of Oklahoma—those back in the district working hard—in contempt.

For the establishment and its financial backers—as previously described, the legislative process usually allows them to avoid casting meaningful votes altogether. Usually. That changed late in the 2025 session.

Because one, local, freshman state representative, someone from the real world, not the fake political capitol world—without permission, without cover, and without fear—forced the House to do the one thing leadership works hardest to prevent: publicly choose sides.

And the choice they made exposed everything.

That opportunity arose from an effort led by The State Board of Education. Their request was simple: They wanted to track the number of illegal aliens in Oklahoma's public schools—and measure the



Local State Representative Molly Jenkins sits for an on-camera presentation during the 2025 legislative year.

fiscal impact.

That's it.

One estimate suggests that roughly \$400 million per year is being levied on the taxpayer and the education system.

Establishing that number would have strengthened Oklahoma's standing to demand federal accountability for a crisis the federal government created and mishandled throughout every administration of the modern era, both Republican and Democrat, until now.

That metric would allow policymakers to analyze the impact on rural education—particularly how much funding is steadily siphoned from rural districts to urban ones, why this might incentivize urban districts to actively engage in soliciting illegal involvement.

That lawmakers had never required this metric before should shock anyone who believes legislators understand or even desire to understand their role as guardians of public policy.

Imagine a business owner who refuses to track his business's costs because the results might be uncomfortable.

And rather than responding with embarrassment or curiosity, legislative leadership moved immediately to sabotage the request itself.

When the annual administrative rules resolutions came forward—no doubt approving hundreds of new bureaucratic rules or amendments to rules—the Board of Education's request was deliberately excluded.

Normally, that would have been the end of the story. Leadership would have absorbed the criticism, assigned blame, and moved on.

But this time, it didn't end that way.

Because a courageous freshman representative—Molly Jenkins, who nicely represents the role of courageous female namesakes such as the unsinkable Molly Brown—knew the toxic nature of the subject matter: a room full of hypocrites who campaign on saving our country while standing by

as it burns because they can't afford to upset their finance people.

Jenkins, who does not take lobbyist money, went forward anyway and sponsored an amendment to reinsert the Board of Education's transparency proposal.

She forced the House into the open, for all to see—where no amount of spin, procedure, or talking points could save them.

They had to vote.

Due to archaic House rules that do not require recorded votes on procedural motions there was no official vote entered into the House Journal.

But that didn't stop Jenkins.

She managed to get the clerk to activate the board for a "division," and that division was captured by the House live-feed cameras—allowing the people of Oklahoma to know the truth.

Who stands with the people?

And who stands with the illegal invaders and their special-interest financiers who are making bank off the decline of the republic, at a cost of immense human misery and personal destruction that always accompanies the crumbling of the rule of law?

Thanks to the courageous Molly Jenkins, we now know.

They may have killed the reform but, in the 2026 election cycle, as the public is now paying more and more attention to the many the illegal alien frauds and schemes, it's Jenkins' courage that provides the vital transparency tool for Oklahoma voters, all across the state, to hold their representatives accountable.

That Jenkins represents our local area is something all House District 33, Logan and Payne County residents should take great satisfaction in.

And, in 2026, as the special interests, the empire, strikes back, local voters are well advised to once again shock the state, and send a message that we don't go with the establishment flow, and we hold our local leaders to a higher standard.

This article has been reprinted with permission from The Oklahoma State Capital. It's an opinion piece by former Government Modernization Chairman Jason Murphey, who represented House District 31 from 2006-2018.

Green Energy Fight

Continued from Page 1

Jenkins, who operates a cow-calf ranch with her husband Brett near the Logan-Payne County line, says the spread of these projects feels like “dodging bullets” as they multiply across the countryside.

Her proposed constitutional amendment, she says, would provide the strongest line of defense against those federal schemes — not only now, but in the future, when similar programs are likely to return under a future liberal federal administration.

"The Trump impact on stopping these bad 'green' projects is enormous, but the political left will return to the White House some day. We have to act now so that we will have

the tools to fight back."

Jenkins has also authored legislation that would give voters direct authority over green-energy projects that affect their communities — including wind turbines, transmission lines, turbine recycling facilities, and large data centers that consume vast amounts of water and electricity.

Under her proposal, residents whose land and quality of life are affected would have the right to vote on whether such projects move forward.

While there are notable exceptions, Jenkins says too many local officials often support these projects because they generate tax revenue for the government — even when they dramatically change rural communities. That, she argues, compromises the motives of those officials. Her

proposal would return that power to the people who actually live on the land.

Legislative insiders will insist Jenkins is naive to believe she can overcome the special interests and the rigged legislative process even to secure hearings for such proposals. But Jenkins rejects that view.

Many lawmakers, she says, choose easier paths — carrying bills written by lobbyists and agencies so they can claim to be “getting things done” while collecting campaign money from the same special interests.

“That just makes them part of the problem,” Jenkins said.

“I’m never going to give up. As long as I represent House District 33, I will keep introducing and fighting for real solutions that return power to local people and give them control over their own destiny — instead of



leaving it in the hands of lobbyists and bureaucrats.”

"A lot depends on the 2026 elections. If those of us who aren't taking the lobbyist money, and aren't playing their game, can grow in number, we can reform the legislature's rules, and start requiring hearings on these efforts, and it's going to be very hard, even for the most co-opted of legislators, to vote against these proposals in a public vote."

Shredding The System

Continued from Page 1

She began asking questions. What did this money really mean?

What she learned was troubling.

Lobbyists buy influence directly; but powerful establishment politicians also act as brokers — gathering money from special interests and then distributing it to newer lawmakers.

In doing so, they gain leverage, loyalty, and control. The recipient is no longer just a colleague. They become a beneficiary.

Worse still, this practice allows special-interest money to be laundered through political ac-

counts, potentially bypassing campaign-finance limits while masking the true source of influence.

As the paper dissolved into confetti, she smiled, she knew she had made the right decision.

For Jenkins, the conclusion was unavoidable: To take that check while technically permissible, would be to betray the spirit of her promise to the people of House District 33.

An honest observer would rightly wonder whether her loyalty now belonged to the

voter or to the powerful politician.

Jenkins has decided that, unless a state official lives in the district, and is a constituent of hers, then she will treat their campaign account money the same as if they were a lobbyist. She called the powerful politician and declined the money. Then she did something even more decisive: She shredded the check.

By refusing the money, Jenkins made something clear: there will be no obligations, either spoken or unspoken, to those whose interests do not align with local voters.

She will support proposals that aligned with the values of House

District 33 — and oppose those that do not — regardless of who introduces them.

For Jenkins, destroying that check brought a deep sense of freedom.

As the paper dissolved into confetti, she smiled, she knew she had made the right decision. She was now free to vote her conscience, defend her district, and keep faith with the voters, the courageous voters, who had put their own reputations on the line, by openly supporting her against the wishes of so many powerful political personalities.

That, she believes, is exactly what public service is supposed to look like.

Unprecedented Outreach

Continued from Page 1

And when people are ready to get more involved in restoring the balance away from monied special interests and back to the people, that’s what I want to encourage.”

Jenkins is joined in that effort by what she calls a “dream team” of

newly elected local officials, including fellow State Representative Jim Shaw, who also represents parts of Payne and Logan counties and has earned grassroots support statewide for pushing back against green-energy policies that impact rural Oklahoma. She is also working alongside newly elected State

Senator Randy Grellner, who has quickly become part of a growing bloc of conservative senators expected to gain strength in the 2026 election cycle.

All three spoke and met with constituents at the Perkins town hall.

“This event — the first of many we

plan to hold — is just one part of what I want to become the most aggressive constituent-engagement effort anywhere in Oklahoma,” Jenkins said. “House District 33 deserves the best, and that means the most ethical and most engaged representation in the state. Working together, that’s exactly what we’re delivering.”

Why Molly Jenkins’ 100% Conservative Record Matters

How Molly Jenkins earned a perfect conservative score by refusing to play Oklahoma’s money-driven political game.

Since 1979, The Oklahoma Constitution newspaper has published an annual Conservative Index — a nonpartisan scorecard designed to measure how closely Oklahoma legislators’ votes align with conservative principles.

The index was created after the 1978 election, when Democratic candidates won office by campaigning as moderates or conservatives, but who had been reliably liberal. Voters had been misled. The publisher of The Oklahoma Constitution needed a way to expose the gap between campaign rhetoric and legislative reality.

The Conservative Index became that tool.

For more than four decades, it has served as the gold standard

for tracking ideological consistency in the Oklahoma Legislature — showing voters not what politicians say at home, but how they actually vote in Oklahoma City.

This year, that same index rated State Representative Molly Jenkins at 100 percent conservative.

That makes Jenkins the first lawmaker in the known history of House District 33 to achieve a perfect lifetime conservative score on the Index.

The contrast is striking.

Jenkins’ predecessor held a lifetime score of just 49 percent — meaning the district had been represented by someone who voted more often as a liberal than as a conservative, despite campaigning as a Republican.

“In the past, House District 33 was

represented by one of the most liberal Republicans in the Legislature,” Jenkins said. “That didn’t reflect the values of the people here, which are overwhelmingly conservative.”

Jenkins says the difference between her record and that of past officeholders is no mystery.

It comes down to money.

“When politicians take lobbyist money, it changes how they vote,” she said. “That money is how the system controls people. It’s how politicians who campaign as conservatives end up voting like liberals once they get to the Capitol.”

Jenkins made a pledge when she ran for office: to abstain from even the appearance of impropriety by refusing lobbyist and special-interest money.

She has kept that promise — even rejecting and shredding unsolicited checks from political insiders.

That independence, she says, is what allows her to vote the way her district expects.

“I don’t owe anyone in Oklahoma City anything,” Jenkins said. “I owe the people of House District 33. That’s why I can vote 100 percent conservative — because I’m not bought, I’m not pressured, and I’m not afraid to say no.”

For Jenkins, the Conservative Index does more than measure ideology. It exposes a system that rewards compliance and punishes independence — and it proves that integrity is still possible.


“When I asked for the vote, I made a promise: to give this district honest, consistent representation — not the kind where politicians campaign one way and govern another,” she said. “That’s exactly what this 100 percent score represents.”

MOLLY’s MO

- ✓ No Lobbyist Money
- ✓ No Strings Attached
- ✓ No Liberal Votes

State Rep. Molly Jenkins Escalates Fight Against Secretive Legislative Pay Raise

After the Legislative Compensation Board met behind closed doors before approving large salary increases for politicians, Rep. Molly Jenkins is demanding accountability — and filing legislation to give voters, not insiders, control over public pay.



Molly Jenkins has responded to what she calls the “deeply troubling and possibly unlawful” actions of the Oklahoma Legislative Compensation Board after it met behind closed doors before voting to award large pay raises to legislators and statewide elected officials.

Jenkins has now taken three concrete steps to block the raises, restore transparency, and put voters back in control of public pay.

First, Jenkins has formally requested an official opinion from Attorney General Gentner Drummond on whether the board violated Oklahoma’s Open Meeting Act when it entered executive session before approving the raises. Under state law, executive sessions are permitted only in limited circumstances including discussion of employees’ salaries; but, Legislators and statewide officials, however, are not employees of the Compensation Board.

“I have asked the attorney general to determine whether the board broke the law when it went behind closed doors,” Jenkins said. “What exactly was it about increasing politicians’ salaries that needed to be ‘discussed’ behind closed doors?”

The circumstances surrounding the vote, Jenkins said, “do not pass the smell test.” The board had twice rejected legislative pay raises in prior meetings. Then, after some members were replaced by their appointing authorities, the board suddenly reversed course; but, only after meeting privately.

“At a time when Oklahoma families are being crushed by rising insurance costs, higher utility bills, and skyrocketing property taxes, newly appointed politicians’ appointees went into a closed-door meeting and gave their appointees a pay raise,” Jenkins said.

Jenkins has now filed three separate pieces of legislation aimed at reversing the raises and preventing future abuses.

HJR 1047 would let Oklahoma voters decide — in this year’s election — whether the legislative pay increase should be blocked. It would also freeze legislative compensation at its current level unless and until voters approve a future increase.

“If lawmakers think they deserve a raise,

they should make their case to the people who pay the bills.”

HB 3093 would explicitly prohibit the Legislative Compensation Board from entering executive session to discuss pay raises. Jenkins says that she believes the law already forbids this but recent events show it must be made unmistakably clear.

“The board acted as though it could hide from the public when deciding politicians’ salaries,” Jenkins said. “That cannot be allowed.”

HB 3092, known as the *Stop the Salary Spike Act*, would block the newly approved raises for statewide elected officials including the governor, attorney general, and state superintendent.

For decades, Oklahoma has ranked among the highest-paying part-time legislatures in the nation — yet it routinely trails other states in key performance indicators, including infrastructure, education outcomes, public health, and economic mobility. Jenkins says the disconnect is impossible to ignore.

“In Oklahoma, it’s clear that legislative pay has a reverse correlation with government performance,” Jenkins said. “When compensation keeps rising but results keep falling, it tells you something is broken. Public service has to be about service — not self-reward.”

Jenkins to Reject Her Own Raise

Jenkins says that, regardless of what happens to her house bills, she will refuse any increase in her own pay.

“If this raise takes effect, I will not keep it,” she said. “Every dollar will be donated to a House District 33 crisis-pregnancy clinic — organizations that actually serve people in their moment of greatest need.”

Jenkins said the issue goes beyond dollars.

“This is about whether Oklahoma is run for the people or for the political class,” she said.

“When politicians appoint those who then hold closed-door meetings to increase the politicians’ pay, that tells you everything you need to know about who they think they work for. I work for the people of House District 33 — and this fight is just getting started.”

Jenkins Warns About Lobbyist Group Tracking Local Voters

As campaign season gets underway, lobbyist-represented entities have already launched the first of what are expected to be many salvos against Molly Jenkins.

One such Facebook ad comes from American Energy Action, an out-of-state wind-turbine-complex lobbying group based in the suburbs of Washington, D.C.

The ad claims Jenkins wants to give county government control over private land, referencing Jenkins’ House Bill 1044.

Known as the Vital Industries Security Act, the proposal would allow local commissioners to place a moratorium on industrial wind-turbine complex expansion in counties that are home to critical facilities — such as major oil-distribution hubs like Cushing — where large turbine installations could pose



serious safety and infrastructure risks.

“This is about stopping dangerous and destructive projects that are destroying rural Oklahoma — not giving the county control over anyone’s land,” Jenkins said.

The ad campaign also appears to urge residents to submit advocacy messages to Jenkins through American Energy Action’s; but, Jenkins says her office has not received a single email from the campaign despite reports that the ads have generated thousands of impressions.

That raised concerns after a review of the group’s own privacy policy revealed that it may track personally identifiable information such as names, addresses, emails, phone numbers, and social-media accounts, along with detailed data about voting history, political views, and online activity, including IP addresses and device information. That data may then be shared, exchanged, or rented to affiliated organizations, effectively turning political engagement into a form of data harvesting by the out-of-state lobbying operation.

Jenkins is urging voters not to send messages through third-party political websites and instead to contact her office directly by phone, text, or email. She also encourages residents to reach out whenever attack ads appear on social media.

“If you ever see something that doesn’t sound right, ask me,” Jenkins said. “The people of House District 33 deserve the truth — not scare tactics and data harvesting from out-of-state groups. I am always available to explain what I am doing and answer all questions, and there is no need for a big-money, out-of-state lobbyist group to harvest your data and track you online.”

Jenkins can be reached on her cell at (405) 326-3196 or by email at MollyJenkins@Reagan.com.